

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/414, 415 3-31-95 R. Matyjaszewski 524-2296-0X

to establish a business and provide employment to others. The defendant's conduct is not in the public interest. **EXAMINER** (S. 2)
The defendant's conduct is not in the public interest. **CHENG, W. C.**

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

radiation, production of radiolysis products, and other effects are not yet fully understood. A series of studies have been conducted to determine the effects of radiation on the stability of art materials. The results of these studies have shown that radiation can cause significant damage to art materials, including discoloration, degradation of pigments, and loss of mechanical strength. The extent of damage is dependent on the dose of radiation, the type of material, and the environmental conditions. The following table summarizes the results of the studies conducted on the stability of art materials under radiation.

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2015

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ~~Sesarak~~ Meson, *applicant's representative* (3)

(1) ~~Senior~~ Meson, Representative (3)

(2) W. C. Cheng, Examiner (4)

Date of Interview 2-6-97

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The applicant*

would like to amend some claims in order to clarify the processes involved with arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

McCheng